### **ERDF** **MAIN PROJECTS: Assessment Form**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Lead Applicant** | | |
| **Name of Other Partners** | | |
| **Strategic Fit** | | |
|  | **Score** | **Justification of Score** |
| **Project Overview** | Meet project goals  Fully – 10%  Not at all – **reject/revise** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Project cost and ERDF Funding request** | | | | |
| **Expenditure**  **Category** | **Item** | **Total Item cost (£)** | **ERDF Grant requested (£)** | **Impact** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | £ | £ |  |
| **Source of Match Funding/% offered** | |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Score** | **Justification of Score** |
| **Match Funding Provision** | Certainty of match funding provision  Guaranteed – 10%  Low Risk – 8%  Uncertain – 2%  No match – reject/revise |  |

**ERDF Outputs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ERDF Outputs** | **Project Target** | **% of total programme target** | **VfM** | **Comments** |
| **C1 –**No of enterprises receiving support |  |  |  |  |
| **C5 –** Number of new enterprises supported |  |  |  |  |
| **C8 –** employment increase in supported enterprises |  |  |  |  |
| **P2 –** Public or commercial buildings built or renovated |  |  |  |  |
| **P11 -** no. of potential entrepreneurs assisted to be enterprise ready |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Score** | **Rating** |
| **Outputs Assessment** | Outputs achievable and value for money – 15% |  |
| **Procurement:** | Believe they have capacity to manage this requirement fully yes- 5%. If no confidence – **Reject/Revise** |  |
| **Systems capability and ability to maintain records** | Believe they have capacity to manage this requirement fully yes- 5%. If no confidence – **Reject/Revise** |  |
| **Additionality:** | Demonstrates work is additional to any currently provided –up to 5% |  |
| **Innovative approach:** | Believe project shows  10% high degree of innovation/new approach  8% quite  5% slightly  0% not new |  |
| **Focus on 20% Decile**  Proportion of companies, individuals, or capital works that will be supported in the CLLD areas of highest deprivation (20% most deprived LSOAs). | Number of outputs to be delivered from LSOAs  100% - score 20%  80-99% - score 15%  65 -79% - score 10%  0-65% - score 0% |  |
| **Options Analysis:** | Info only |  |
| **State Aid:** | Info only |  |
| **Ability and understanding to manage evidence requirements** | Info only |  |
| **Eligibility of Expenditure** | Info only |  |
| **No Duplication of delivery** | Info only |  |
| **Understand Publicity Requirements** | Info only |  |
| **Sustainable Development** | Demonstrate understanding of funding requirement- Up to 5% |  |
| **Equalities and Diversity** | Demonstrate understanding of funding requirement – Up to 5% |  |
| **Previous experience delivering this type of project/outputs/results** | Up to 5% |  |
| **Previous experience delivering EU funded projects** | Up to 5% |  |
| **Total Score** |  |  |

**The minimum acceptable score will be 60%. Low scores in any of the sections marked red will be considered further by the LAG committee as they may highlight issues in meeting key funding requirements.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Applicant:** |  |  |
| **Approval Status** | **Approved**  **Referred**  **Reject** |  |
| **Total Project Cost** | **Amount Recommended for Approval** | **Amount Approved** |
| **Project Assessor:**  **Name:**  **Signature:**  **Date:** |  | |