### **ESF** **MAIN PROJECTS: Assessment Form**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Lead Applicant** | | |
| **Name of Other Partners** | | |
| **Strategic Fit** | | |
|  | **Score** | **Justification of Score** |
| **Project Overview** | Meet project goals  Fully – 10%  Not at all – reject/revise |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Project cost and ERDF Funding request** | | | | |
| **Expenditure**  **Category** | **Item** | **Total Item cost (£)** | **ERDF Grant requested (£)** | **Impact** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | £ | £ |  |
| **Source of Match Funding/% offered** | |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Score** | **Justification of Score** |
|  | Certainty of match funding provision  Guaranteed – 10%  Low Risk – 8%  Uncertain – 2%  No match – reject/revise |  |

**ESF Outputs** **and Results**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ESF Outputs and Results** | **Project Target** | **% of total programme target** | **VfM** | **Comments** |
| **CO01** – Unemployed, including long term unemployed |  |  |  |  |
| **CO03** – Economically Inactive |  |  |  |  |
| **CO16** – Participants with disabilities |  |  |  |  |
| **CO4 -** Participants over 50 years of age |  |  |  |  |
| **CO5** – Participants from ethnic minorities |  |  |  |  |
| **CR02** – unemployed participants in employment including self-employment on leaving |  |  |  |  |
| **R1** – participants in education/training on leaving |  |  |  |  |
| **R2** – economically inactive participants into employment or job search on leaving |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Score** | **Rating** |
| **Outputs and Results Assessment** | Outputs achievable and value for money – up to 15% |  |
| **Procurement:** | Believe they have capacity to manage this requirement fully yes- 5%. If no confidence – Reject/Revise |  |
| **Systems capability and ability to maintain records** | Believe they have capacity to manage this requirement fully yes- 5%. If no confidence – Reject/Revise |  |
| **Additionality:** | Demonstrates work is additional to any currently provided – up to 5% |  |
| **Innovative approach:** | Believe project shows  10% high degree of innovation/new approach  8% quite  5% slightly  0% not new |  |
| **Focus on 20% Decile**  Proportion of companies, individuals, or capital works that will be supported in the CLLD areas of highest deprivation (20% most deprived LSOAs). | Number of outputs to be delivered from LSOAs  100% - score 20%  80-99% - score 15%  65 -79% - score 10%  0-65% - Reject/Revise |  |
| **Options Analysis:** | Info only |  |
| **State Aid:** | Info only |  |
| **Ability and understanding to manage evidence requirements** | Info only |  |
| **Eligibility of Expenditure** | Info only |  |
| **No Duplication of delivery** | Info only |  |
| **Understand Publicity Requirements** | Info only |  |
| **Sustainable Development** | Demonstrate understanding of funding requirement- Up to 5% |  |
| **Equalities and Diversity** | Demonstrate understanding of funding requirement – Up to 5% |  |
| **Previous experience delivering this type of project/outputs/results** | Up to 5% |  |
| **Previous experience delivering EU funded projects** | Up to 5% |  |
| **Total Score** |  |  |

**The minimum acceptable score will be 60%. Low scores in any of the sections marked red will be considered further by the LAG committee as they may highlight issues in meeting key funding requirements.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Applicant:** |  |  |
| **Approval Status** | **Approved**  **Referred**  **Reject** |  |
| **Total Project Cost** | **Amount Recommended for Approval** | **Amount Approved** |
| **Project Assessor:**  **Name:**  **Signature:**  **Date:** |  | |